

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/769,376	02/02/2004	David C. Saccente	7901	
. 75	590 12/07/2004		EXAM	INER
David C. Saccente			CHIN SHUE, ALVIN C	
33 Robinson Av	venue		Laminum I	DARED MIN (DED
Medford, NY 11763			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3634	
			DATE MAIL ED: 12/07/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/769,376	SACCENTE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alvin C. Chin-Shue	3634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u></u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.					
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	•				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	√ \□	(PTO 412)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/769,376

Art Unit: 3634

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The phrase "previously determined tool accessories and trays" lacks antecedent basis.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katson et al. in view of Bailey and Batten. Katson shows the claimed ladder with the exception of the tapered sections, cross brace members, and the affixed locking pins. Bailey shows a ladder having tapered sections and cross brace members 20,21. Batten shows an affixed locking pin at 11. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Katson to comprise tapered sections and cross brace members, as taught by Bailey, to enhance stability of his ladder and to reinforce his rungs, respectively, and to comprise affixed locking pins, in lieu of his, for locking his sections in selective

positions, Furthermore, to provide Katson with conventional friction reducing means to facilitate relative sliding of his sections, to construct his rungs to be welded or riveted, as is well known in the art, and to make the ladder of the claimed height and width depending on the reach and stability desired, would have been an obvious mechanical expedient.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katson, Bailey and Batten, as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Cornejo et al. Cornejo shows text, graphics and indicia. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the ladder of Katson with text, graphics and indicia, as taught by Cornejo, as an indicating means.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katson, Bailey and Batten, as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Irish. Irish shows a tray for tool accessories. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the ladder of Katson with a tray for tool accessories, as taught by Irish, for a user convenience.

The continuation data is incorrect. It appears that the parent case is SN. 10/055665 which was abandoned 4.17.03 before the filing of the present application.

Application/Control Number: 10/769,376

Art Unit: 3634

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin C. Chin-Shue whose telephone number is 703-308-2475. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on 703-308-2686. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alvin C. Chin-Shue

Page 4

Examiner Art Unit 3634